
CONFERENCE ARTICLE**Moral Conflict and The Tragedy of Female Consciousness in Leo Tolstoy's****Rakhmatullaeva Komila Normamat kizi**Teacher, The University of Economics and Pedagogy Russian Language Department, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This article examines the portrayal of female consciousness in Leo Tolstoy's *Anna Karenina* through the lenses of morality, freedom, and psychological realism. The novel's central figure, Anna, embodies the conflict between individual passion and social morality, revealing the limitations of nineteenth-century patriarchal ethics. Tolstoy's moral universe contrasts Anna's spiritual disintegration with the inner harmony achieved by Kitty and Dolly, illustrating his complex vision of womanhood. Using hermeneutic, psychoanalytic, and ethical approaches, the study explores the dialectic of freedom and duty in female identity, emphasizing Tolstoy's conviction that true liberation can only arise from moral integrity and self-awareness. Ultimately, the novel presents a timeless meditation on the human condition: the tragic impossibility of reconciling love, freedom, and social conscience.

KEYWORDS

Tolstoy, *Anna Karenina*, morality, freedom, realism, female identity, conscience, tragedy, spirituality, redemption, Russian literature.

INTRODUCTION

Leo Tolstoy's *Anna Karenina* (1877) stands as one of the most profound moral and psychological novels in world literature. Often described as "a tragedy of love," it is more precisely a tragedy of conscience—an exploration of the eternal conflict between the human heart and the moral law. Tolstoy's heroine, Anna Arkadyevna Karenina, is not simply a victim of passion but a symbol of the modern soul torn between inner truth and social hypocrisy.

The moral architecture of the novel is built upon contrasts: love versus law, sincerity versus appearance, and individuality versus convention. In this moral landscape, three women—Anna, Kitty, and Dolly—embody different responses to the spiritual crisis of their time. Anna's rebellion leads to destruction; Kitty's humility brings renewal; Dolly's endurance preserves moral continuity. Through these archetypes, Tolstoy articulates his vision of womanhood as both moral conscience and moral battleground.

Anna Karenina: The Conflict Between Love and Conscience

Anna's story begins not with sin but with moral sensitivity. She enters the novel as a luminous, compassionate presence, capable of reconciling the most conflicted hearts. Yet her inner harmony collapses under the weight of passion, hypocrisy, and isolation. Her love for Vronsky awakens in her the dream of absolute sincerity—a life without deceit—but it simultaneously alienates her from society, her husband, and ultimately from herself.

Tolstoy's portrayal of Anna's descent into despair is psychologically precise. Her tragedy unfolds as a progressive fragmentation of consciousness: love turns to jealousy, freedom to fear, and moral awareness to self-destruction. She is haunted not by guilt alone but by the impossibility of reconciling her passion with the moral order.

"I love, but the law does not permit it; I live, and yet I am dead," she whispers in one of her final internal monologues. (*Anna Karenina*, Part VII). This duality—the coexistence of love and death, freedom and slavery—forms the ethical nucleus of the novel. Anna's fall, therefore, is not merely a moral failure; it is a

metaphysical collision between human desire and divine order.

Kitty Shcherbatskaya: Moral Growth and Inner Harmony

In contrast to Anna's destructive passion, Kitty's story exemplifies moral regeneration through humility and spiritual discipline. Her initial disillusionment with Vronsky leads to self-reflection and an awakening of conscience. Tolstoy depicts her growth not as submission but as the acquisition of moral wisdom.

Her time abroad, her care for a dying woman, and her eventual union with Levin signify an inner transformation—the passage from romantic idealism to mature love. Tolstoy constructs this as an ethical progression: the soul achieves peace when it aligns emotion with duty. Kitty's moral harmony stands as a quiet rebuttal to Anna's tragic rebellion. Through Kitty, Tolstoy demonstrates his belief that genuine freedom is not the absence of moral law but its internalization. The marriage between Kitty and Levin symbolizes the moral ideal of unity between heart and reason, faith and domestic happiness.

Dolly Oblonskaya: The Moral Burden of Tradition

Dolly Oblonskaya represents the endurance of moral structure amid emotional chaos. Her marriage to Stiva Oblonsky—fractured by his infidelity—embodies the conflict between ethical duty and human weakness. Yet Dolly's acceptance of suffering is not passivity but an act of moral creativity: she sustains love in a loveless world.

Tolstoy presents Dolly as the unacknowledged moral center of family life. She lives not for glory or self-assertion but for the preservation of human connection. Her endurance, patience, and compassion make her the embodiment of Tolstoy's Christian ideal of moral realism. As he wrote elsewhere, "the greatness of life is found in small acts of love." Dolly's life, though outwardly ordinary, reveals the quiet heroism of everyday morality.

Her moral suffering also exposes the cruelty of patriarchal hypocrisy: while Anna is condemned for her passion, Dolly

silently bears the burden of her husband's betrayals. Tolstoy thus reveals the structural injustice of his society, yet he also shows that moral greatness can arise even within imperfection.

The Moral and Philosophical Dimension of the Novel

Tolstoy's treatment of morality in *Anna Karenina* resists simplification. He does not moralize; rather, he dramatizes the experience of moral conflict. His ethical universe is founded on freedom as an interior condition—the harmony of conscience rather than obedience to social law.

In this context, Anna's tragedy becomes symbolic of the modern spiritual crisis: the displacement of inner truth by external appearances. The novel's epigraph—"Vengeance is mine, I will repay"—is not a divine threat but a moral warning: that the soul's betrayal of conscience inevitably results in its own punishment. Tolstoy contrasts Anna's moral disintegration with Levin's moral awakening, and by extension, with Kitty's moral stability. The female and male paths toward truth converge in the recognition that happiness lies not in passion or pleasure but in the moral unity of life.

Tolstoy's Psychological Realism

Tolstoy's mastery of psychological realism allows him to present moral conflict as lived experience rather than abstract theory. His use of free indirect discourse enables readers to inhabit Anna's consciousness as it oscillates between clarity and delirium. In her final hours, Anna's perception becomes fragmented and hallucinatory: the sound of the train merges with her heartbeat, the movement of the world becomes mechanical and meaningless. This technique foreshadows modernist explorations of interior monologue and the breakdown of identity. Tolstoy's realism thus anticipates twentieth-century existential and psychoanalytic literature, where consciousness itself becomes the field of moral struggle.

The Redemption Motif in Anna's Death: From Tragedy to Moral Transcendence

The culmination of *Anna Karenina* lies in its redemptive paradox. While Anna's suicide is an act of despair, Tolstoy frames it within a deeper theological and aesthetic structure—the possibility of moral purification through suffering. The motif of redemption runs silently through the novel, transforming tragedy into revelation.

Anna's final moments are not a rejection of life but an attempt to restore meaning to it. Her anguish arises from the loss of spiritual orientation, and her death signifies both punishment and purification. Tolstoy's depiction of her final consciousness—fragmented, yet illuminated by flashes of insight—suggests that in the very moment of annihilation, Anna glimpses the moral harmony she had lost.

"And suddenly she understood that what had been tormenting her and seemed impossible would soon be all over. The horror of life before her turned into love." (*Anna Karenina*, Part VII, Chapter XXXI). This fleeting intuition of love at the threshold of death transforms Anna's fall into a moral ascent. It echoes the Christian doctrine of redemption through suffering: only in losing oneself does one approach the truth of love. Tolstoy does not romanticize suicide; rather, he endows it with metaphysical weight, turning death into a mirror of moral awakening.

In this sense, Anna's death parallels Prince Andrei's illumination in *War and Peace*—the revelation that love transcends judgment. Both characters achieve spiritual awareness in the moment of physical dissolution. Anna's "horror of life turned into love" implies not salvation in a doctrinal sense, but reconciliation: a final merging of the human and the divine. Tolstoy's aesthetic of redemption is grounded in compassion. Even as he condemns Anna's actions, he redeems her through the sincerity of her suffering. Her tragedy purifies the reader's moral perception, reminding us that empathy, not judgment, is the beginning of moral understanding.

Discussion: The Feminine Principle and Moral Beauty

Tolstoy's moral philosophy identifies femininity with the principles of moral intuition and compassion. The feminine principle, in his view, is not weakness but grace—the spiritual force that preserves life's moral balance. Anna's passion, Kitty's faith, and Dolly's endurance reveal different expressions of this moral femininity. In *Anna*, moral beauty becomes tragic when it loses harmony with truth; in *Kitty* and *Dolly*, it becomes redemptive through humility and love. Tolstoy's women are therefore not symbols of submission but embodiments of moral consciousness. Their inner worlds articulate what male rationality cannot: the emotional logic of goodness. For Tolstoy, the essence of beauty lies in moral harmony. Anna's tragedy is that her beauty, detached from morality, becomes destructive. By contrast, Kitty's beauty, united with conscience, becomes creative. Thus, Tolstoy fuses aesthetics and ethics: the beautiful is the good, and the loss of moral beauty leads inevitably to destruction.

In *Anna Karenina*, Tolstoy elevates the story of one woman's love and despair into a metaphysical study of the soul. Through Anna, Kitty, and Dolly, he examines the eternal human conflict between freedom and duty, passion and conscience, individuality and the moral law. Each woman's path leads to a different moral destiny—Anna to tragedy and partial redemption, Kitty to harmony, Dolly to endurance.

The redemption motif in Anna's death transforms her from a fallen woman into a tragic saint of moral consciousness. Her final moment of illumination—when the "horror of life turned into love"—stands as Tolstoy's ultimate moral paradox: that truth may be found not in moral perfection but in the sincerity of the soul's struggle. Tolstoy's psychological insight, ethical depth, and compassion merge to create a vision of womanhood that transcends time and ideology. *Anna Karenina* thus becomes not merely a novel about adultery, but a profound meditation on the possibility of moral renewal in a broken world.

References

1. Tolstoy, L.N. *Anna Karenina*. Moscow: Nauka, 1979.
2. Berdyaev, N. *The Worldview of Leo Tolstoy*. Paris: YMCA Press, 1930.
3. Chicherin, A.V. *Ethical Motifs in Tolstoy's Creative Work*. Moscow: Progress, 1980.
4. Eikhenbaum, B. *Tolstoy: The Seventies*. Leningrad: Academia, 1928.
5. Nabokov, V. *Lectures on Russian Literature*. New York: Harcourt Brace, 1981.
6. Gromov, M. *Psychologism in Russian Classic Literature*. Moscow: Flinta, 1998.
7. *Cambridge Companion to Tolstoy*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
8. Kolesov, V. *Philosophy of Russian Realism*. Moscow: Nauka, 1990.
9. Shestov, L. *On the Scales of Job*. Paris: YMCA Press, 1935.
10. Frank, S.L. *The Meaning of Life*. Paris: YMCA Press, 1926.
11. Berdyaev, N. *The Destiny of Man*. New York: Harper & Row, 1960.
12. Lotman, Yu.M. *Structure of the Artistic Text*. Tartu: TGU, 1970.