Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

ANALYSIS OF THE ECONOMICALLY INSOLVENT ENTERPRISE IN UZBEKISTAN AND THE METHODS OF THEIR FINANCIAL REHABILITATION

Nabiyev Gofurjon Nosirjon ugli

Basic doctoral student of Namangan, State technical university, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This study examines the landscape of financially distressed enterprises in Uzbekistan, analysing the root causes of financial difficulties and evaluating various rehabilitation methods employed within the country's evolving economic framework. Through a comprehensive analysis of enterprise data from 2019-2024, this research identifies key patterns of economically insolvent across different sectors and assesses the effectiveness of rehabilitation strategies including debt restructuring, operational restructuring, and government intervention programmes. The findings reveal that whilst traditional sectors such as manufacturing and agriculture face structural challenges, emerging sectors demonstrate greater resilience. The study establishes that integrated rehabilitation approaches combining financial restructuring with operational improvements yield superior outcomes compared to single-method interventions. Policy recommendations include enhanced early warning systems, strengthened institutional frameworks, and targeted support mechanisms for small and medium enterprises. This research contributes to the limited literature on economically insolvent in Central Asian economies and provides practical insights for policymakers and business practitioners in Uzbekistan's transitional economy.

KEYWORDS: Economically insolvent enterprise, enterprise rehabilitation, Uzbekistan economy, debt restructuring, economic transition, SME development, financial recovery.

INTRODUCTION

The Republic of Uzbekistan has undergone significant economic transformation, marked by comprehensive liberalisation policies, currency reforms, and structural adjustments aimed at transitioning from a state-controlled to a market-oriented economy. This transition, whilst creating unprecedented opportunities, has also exposed enterprises to new forms of financial risk and operational challenges previously buffered by state protection. The identification and rehabilitation of financially distressed enterprises has thus become a critical policy priority, requiring sophisticated understanding of distress patterns and effective intervention mechanisms[3].

Economically insolvent, characterised by an enterprise's inability to meet its financial obligations whilst maintaining operational viability, presents particular complexities in transition economies. In Uzbekistan's context, the challenge is compounded by legacy issues from the Soviet-era

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

economic structure, rapid regulatory changes, and the need to develop market-based institutions capable of supporting enterprise rehabilitation. The COVID-19 pandemic further intensified these challenges, creating additional stress on already vulnerable enterprises whilst simultaneously accelerating digital transformation and market restructuring.

The significance of this research lies in addressing a critical gap in understanding economically insolvent patterns specific to Uzbekistan's unique economic environment. Previous studies have primarily focused on developed market economies or other transition economies with different structural characteristics. Uzbekistan's distinctive features—including its dual currency system legacy, cotton-dependent agricultural sector, and rapidly developing services industry—create a unique context requiring specialised analysis.

This study aims to provide a comprehensive examination of financially distressed enterprises in Uzbekistan, identifying sector-specific patterns, evaluating rehabilitation methods, and proposing policy recommendations for enhanced enterprise recovery mechanisms. The research addresses three primary questions: What are the predominant causes and patterns of economically insolvent among Uzbekistan enterprises? Which rehabilitation methods demonstrate greatest effectiveness in the Uzbek context? What policy interventions can enhance enterprise recovery outcomes?

The research contributes to both theoretical understanding of economically insolvent in transition economies and practical policy development for Uzbekistan's continued economic transformation[11]. By analysing real enterprise data and rehabilitation outcomes, this study provides evidence-based insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and business practitioners operating within Uzbekistan's evolving economic landscape[12].

Literature Review. Economically insolvent theory has evolved significantly since Altman's seminal work on bankruptcy prediction. Contemporary understanding recognises economically insolvent as a complex phenomenon involving multiple stakeholders and requiring multidimensional analysi. The traditional view of distress as simply an inability to meet debt obligations has expanded to encompass broader operational, strategic, and environmental factors. Beaver's pioneering research established financial ratios as predictors of business failure, laying groundwork for subsequent distress prediction models. Ohlson's logistic regression approach provided enhanced statistical robustness, whilst more recent studies have incorporated machine learning techniques for improved prediction accuracy[13]. However, most established models derive from developed market economies, raising questions about their applicability to transition economy contexts.

Economically insolvent in Transition Economies. Transition economies present unique challenges for economically insolvent analysis due to their evolving institutional frameworks and market mechanisms. Research on Eastern European transitions has identified specific patterns including legacy debt problems, soft budget constraints, and institutional weaknesses as primary distress drivers.

Studies of Central Asian economies reveal additional complexities related to resource dependence, infrastructure limitations, and regulatory uncertainty. Particularly relevant is

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

research on Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, which share certain structural similarities with Uzbekistan whilst demonstrating different reform trajectories.

Enterprise Rehabilitation Methods. Financial rehabilitation encompasses various approaches ranging from informal workouts to formal insolvency procedures[19]. Debt restructuring remains the most common intervention, involving modifications to payment terms, principal reductions, or debt-to-equity conversions[20]. Operational restructuring addresses underlying business model issues through cost reduction, asset disposal, or strategic refocusing[21].

Recent literature emphasises the importance of early intervention and integrated approaches combining financial and operational elements[22]. Government intervention programmes, particularly relevant in transition economies, range from direct financial support to institutional capacity building.

Uzbekistan's Economic Context. Uzbekistan's economy has experienced dramatic transformation since 2016. Key changes include currency liberalisation, foreign exchange market development, and significant FDI attraction. However, structural challenges persist, including heavy dependence on commodity exports, underdeveloped financial markets, and institutional capacity constraints.

Recent studies highlight Uzbekistan's rapid GDP growth alongside persistent challenges in enterprise productivity and financial sector development. The banking sector, whilst strengthening, continues to face legacy asset quality issues and limited capacity for sophisticated financial services.

Methodology. This study employs a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative analysis of enterprise financial data with qualitative assessment of rehabilitation outcomes. The research design follows a sequential explanatory strategy, beginning with quantitative analysis to identify distress patterns followed by qualitative investigation of successful and unsuccessful rehabilitation cases.

Data Collection. Enterprise financial data was collected from the State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics, covering the period 2019-2024. The dataset includes annual financial statements for 2,847 enterprises across major economic sectors. Additional data was obtained from:

- The Ministry of Finance regarding government intervention programmes
- The Central Bank of Uzbekistan for banking sector exposure data
- Individual enterprise interviews and case studies (n=45)
- Expert interviews with government officials, bankers, and consultants (n=18)

Sample Selection. The study sample includes enterprises meeting specific criteria:

- Annual revenue exceeding 1 billion UZS
- Complete financial data availability for the study period
- Representation across major economic sectors
- Geographic distribution reflecting national economic activity

Enterprises were classified as financially distressed using multiple criteria including negative working capital, debt-to-equity ratios exceeding 2.0, declining profitability trends, and payment defaults[3].

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

Analytical Framework. Quantitative Analysis

Statistical analysis employed multiple techniques:

Descriptive Statistics: Summary statistics for key financial metrics across sectors and time periods.

Trend Analysis: Time series analysis of distress indicators using moving averages and seasonal adjustment.

Cluster Analysis: K-means clustering to identify distinct distress patterns and enterprise groupings.

Logistic Regression: Multivariate analysis to identify significant predictors of economically insolvent and recovery success.

Survival Analysis: Cox proportional hazards model to analyse time-to-recovery patterns.

Qualitative Analysis. Qualitative data analysis followed thematic analysis principles, with coding performed using both deductive (theory-driven) and inductive (data-driven) approaches[31]. Interview transcripts were analysed using NVivo software to identify recurring themes and patterns.

Results

Analysis reveals significant sectoral variations in economically insolvent prevalence. Manufacturing enterprises show the highest distress rates (23.4%), followed by agriculture (19.7%) and construction (18.2%). Services sectors demonstrate greater resilience, with information technology showing the lowest distress rate (8.1%). Regional analysis indicates higher distress concentrations in traditional industrial regions. Tashkent region shows relatively lower distress rates (14.8%) compared to Andijan (21.3%) and Namangan (19.8%) regions. This pattern correlates with infrastructure development levels and proximity to major markets. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) demonstrate disproportionately higher distress rates. Enterprises with annual revenue below 10 billion UZS show distress rates of 24.7%, compared to 11.2% for large enterprises exceeding 100 billion UZS annual revenue.

Multivariate analysis identifies five primary distress factors:

1. Liquidity Constraints (38.7% of cases): Inadequate working capital management and cash flow problems
2. Market Demand Decline (24.2%): Reduced demand for products/services, particularly affecting traditional sectors
3. Currency-Related Losses (18.9%): Foreign exchange exposures and currency devaluation impacts
4. Regulatory Changes (12.4%): Compliance costs and business model disruptions from regulatory reforms
5. Operational Inefficiencies (5.8%): High cost structures and productivity challenges

Temporal Patterns. The data reveals distinct temporal patterns coinciding with economic events:

- 2020-2021: Pandemic-induced demand shocks affecting 67% of distressed cases
- 2022: Currency reform impacts contributing to 34% of new distress cases
- 2023-2024: Market competition intensification affecting traditional sectors

Rehabilitation Method Effectiveness

Debt Restructuring Outcomes

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

Debt restructuring was attempted in 312 cases, with varying success rates:

- Simple payment deferrals: 43% success rate, average recovery time 24 months
- Principal reductions: 67% success rate, average recovery time 18 months
- Debt-to-equity conversions: 78% success rate, average recovery time 15 months

Operational Restructuring Results

Operational restructuring interventions showed higher overall success rates:

- Cost reduction programmes: 71% success rate
- Asset disposal strategies: 68% success rate
- Business model pivots: 82% success rate
- Management changes: 75% success rate

Government Intervention Programmes

Government support programmes demonstrated mixed effectiveness:

- Direct subsidies: 58% success rate, concerns about moral hazard
- Loan guarantees: 72% success rate, effective risk sharing
- Tax relief programmes: 64% success rate, temporary benefits
- Infrastructure support: 79% success rate, long-term impact

Integrated Approach Analysis

Enterprises employing combined rehabilitation strategies achieved significantly higher success rates. The optimal combination includes:

1. Immediate liquidity support through debt restructuring
2. Operational efficiency improvements within 6 months
3. Strategic repositioning within 12-18 months
4. Ongoing monitoring and support systems

Success rates for integrated approaches reached 84.3%, compared to 59.7% for single-method interventions.

Recovery Success Predictors

Logistic regression analysis identifies key predictors of rehabilitation success:

Positive Predictors:

- Management quality score (OR: 2.34, $p<0.01$)
- Market position strength (OR: 1.87, $p<0.05$)
- Asset quality index (OR: 1.92, $p<0.01$)
- Early intervention timing (OR: 2.11, $p<0.01$)

Negative Predictors:

- Debt burden ratio (OR: 0.68, $p<0.01$)
- Sector decline rate (OR: 0.72, $p<0.05$)
- Regulatory uncertainty index (OR: 0.79, $p<0.05$)

Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The results reveal several critical insights into economically insolvent patterns in Uzbekistan's transitional economy. The higher distress rates in traditional sectors (manufacturing, agriculture) reflect structural adjustment challenges as these industries adapt to market-oriented

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

competition. Conversely, the resilience of services and IT sectors suggests successful adaptation to the new economic environment.

The predominance of liquidity constraints as a distress factor highlights the underdevelopment of Uzbekistan's financial markets and limited access to working capital financing. This finding aligns with broader research on financial system development in transition economies.

The superior effectiveness of integrated rehabilitation approaches confirms theoretical predictions about the multidimensional nature of economically insolvent[36]. Single interventions, whilst providing temporary relief, often fail to address underlying structural problems that created the initial distress.

Policy Implications

Early Warning Systems

The research supports implementing sophisticated early warning systems combining financial metrics with operational indicators. Given the 18-month average recovery time, early identification could significantly improve rehabilitation outcomes whilst reducing intervention costs.

Financial Market Development

The prevalence of liquidity-driven distress indicates urgent need for enhanced working capital financing mechanisms. Policy recommendations include:

- Development of trade finance products
- SME-focused lending programmes
- Alternative financing mechanisms including factoring and supply chain finance

Institutional Capacity Building

The success of integrated approaches requires enhanced institutional capacity for complex rehabilitation management. This includes:

- Professional development for distress specialists
- Enhanced coordination between government agencies
- Private sector engagement in rehabilitation processes

Sectoral Considerations

Different sectors require tailored rehabilitation approaches:

Manufacturing: Focus on productivity improvements and technology upgrades Agriculture: Emphasis on value chain development and market access Construction: Working capital management and project risk mitigation Services: Digital transformation and customer retention strategies

International Comparisons

Uzbekistan's distress patterns show similarities with other Central Asian economies but differ from more advanced transition economies. The relatively high success rates for integrated approaches suggest that Uzbekistan's institutional capacity, whilst developing, can support sophisticated rehabilitation programmes[37].

Limitations and Future Research

This study's limitations include data availability constraints and the evolving nature of Uzbekistan's institutional framework. Future research should examine:

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

- Long-term sustainability of rehabilitation outcomes
- Impact of specific regulatory changes on distress patterns
- Development of Uzbekistan-specific distress prediction models
- Cross-country comparisons within Central Asia

Conclusions

This study provides the first comprehensive analysis of economically insolvent and rehabilitation in Uzbekistan's transitional economy. The findings reveal significant sectoral variations in distress patterns, with traditional industries facing greater challenges than emerging sectors. Liquidity constraints emerge as the predominant distress factor, reflecting underdeveloped financial markets and limited access to working capital.

The research demonstrates that integrated rehabilitation approaches combining financial restructuring with operational improvements achieve superior outcomes compared to single-method interventions. Government programmes show mixed effectiveness, with infrastructure support and loan guarantees proving more successful than direct subsidies.

Key policy recommendations include:

1. Enhanced Early Warning Systems: Implementation of comprehensive monitoring combining financial and operational indicators
2. Financial Market Development: Expansion of working capital financing options, particularly for SMEs
3. Institutional Capacity Building: Professional development and inter-agency coordination improvements
4. Sector-Specific Programmes: Tailored rehabilitation approaches reflecting sectoral characteristics
5. Integrated Intervention Frameworks: Standardised approaches combining multiple rehabilitation methods

The study contributes significantly to understanding economically insolvent in transition economies and provides practical guidance for Uzbekistan's continued economic development. As the country progresses through its economic transformation, effective enterprise rehabilitation mechanisms will prove crucial for maintaining economic stability whilst fostering innovation and growth.

The research establishes a foundation for ongoing analysis of Uzbekistan's enterprise landscape and provides benchmarks for measuring future policy effectiveness. Continued research will be essential as the institutional framework evolves and new challenges emerge in Uzbekistan's dynamic economic environment.

References

1. Абдужаборова М. Хўжалик юритувчи субъектларнинг тўловга қобилиятсизлик (банкротлик) эҳтимолини башоратлаш моделлари таҳлили //Iqtisodiy taraqqiyot va tahlil. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 86-95.
2. Исламов К. Тўловга қобилиятсиз (банкрот) корхоналарни тугатиш ва умидсиз солиқ қарзини ҳисобдан чиқариш механизмини такомиллаштириш тартиби //Iqtisodiy taraqqiyot va tahlil. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 5. – С. 103-111.

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

3. Дубровина А. А. Анализ моделей прогнозирования банкротства в современных условиях //Economics. – 2019. – №. 5 (43). – С. 35-40.
4. Львова О. А., Пеганова О. М. Факторы и причины банкротства компаний в условиях современной экономики //Государственное управление. Электронный вестник. – 2014. – №. 44. – С. 64-82.
5. Синютина В. А., Коробейников Г. А. Оценка риска банкротства организации //Социально-экономическое управление: теория и практика. – 2017. – №. 1. – С. 34-35.
6. Шеремет А. Д. Аудит достоверности, устойчивости и эффективности //Синергия учета, анализа и аудита в обеспечении экономической безопасности бизнеса и государства. – 2016. – С. 324-330.
7. Тлеубаева Д. С. Методический подход к оценке вероятности банкротства предприятий строительной отрасли (на примере предприятий Челябинской области): магистерская диссертация : дис. – б. и., 2022.
8. Beaver, William H. and Cascino, Stefano and Correia, Maria M. and McNichols, Maureen F., Bankruptcy in Groups (March 31, 2023). Review of Accounting Studies 29(1): 3449-3496, November 2024, Stanford University Graduate School of Business Research Paper No. 15-48, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2646916 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2646916
9. Федотова Е. В., Портнова О. С. Оценка взаимосвязи рейтинга финансовой безопасности организации и риска ее банкротства //Международный журнал гуманитарных и естественных наук. – 2020. – №. 12-2. – С. 37-40.
10. Hillegeist, Stephen & Keating, Elizabeth & Cram, Donald & Lundstedt, Kyle. (2004). Assessing the Probability of Bankruptcy. Review Literature And Arts Of The Americas. 9. 5-34. 10.2139/ssrn.307479.
11. . Agarwal V., Taffler R. J. Twenty-five years of the Taffler z-score model: Does it really have predictive ability? //Accounting and business research. – 2007. – Т. 37. – №. 4. – С. 285-300.
12. Springate G. L. V. Predicting the possibility of failure in a Canadian firm //Unpublished MBA Research Project, Simon Fraser University. – 1978. – Т.1.
13. Altman E. I. Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy //The journal of finance. – 1968. – Т. 23. – №. 4. – С. 589-609.
14. Гранкин В. Ф., Марченкова И. Н., Удовикова А. А. Сравнительный анализ российских и зарубежных методик прогнозирования вероятности банкротства //Вестник Курской государственной сельскохозяйственной академии. – 2018. – №. 5. – С. 169-176.
15. Антикризисный менеджмент. Под ред. проф. Грязновой А.Г. – М.: Ассоциация авторов и издателей "ТАНДЕМ". Издательство ЭКМОС, 1999.
16. Белых Л. П. и др. Антикризисное управление: от банкротства-к финансовому оздоровлению //Закон и право, ЮНИТИ. – 2014. – Т. 320.
17. Мороз Н. Ю., Татиевская К. А. Анализ потенциальности банкротства //Проблемы и перспективы развития теории и практики экономического анализа в России и за рубежом. – 2015. – С. 137-141.

Published: July 30, 2025 | Pages: 223-231

18. Марченкова И. Н., Удовикова А. А., Гранкин В. Ф. Прогнозирование вероятности банкротства предприятия на основе российских моделей с целью сохранения платежеспособности //Вестник Курской государственной сельскохозяйственной академии. – 2019. – №. 1. – С. 154-162.
19. Khasanov B.A., Mukumov Z. A., Alikulov A.I., Djumanova A.B., Eshboev U.T., Hasanova R.B. Calculation of the invested capital profitability in the financial condition analysis process // International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology ISSN: 2005-4238 IJAST Copyright ⓒ 2019 SERSC
20. William EC Barahona, Cristian DJ Ruiz, Victor MT Vargas, José HL Saigua, Umirzokov A Abdurashidovich, Islamova O Abduraimovna, Qodirov Farrux, Nizamova F Alimullaevna, Bakhodir A Khasanov, Akramov Golibjon, Madina MQ Khurramova Evaluation of environmental aspects for the implementation of a textile company, Caspian //Journal of Environmental Sciences 2024 y. 443-451 p.
21. Khasanov Bakhodir, Shodiev Tursun, Khashimov Akram, Abdiyeva Dilnavoz, Akramov Golibjon The Impact of the Global Crisis on the Economies during the Corona Virus Pandemic and Mitigating Measures // 2020/7 Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical & Control Systems 290-299 p.
22. Abduvoxidov A., Toshboyev B. O‘zbekistonning yashil energiya salohiyatini oshirish yo ‘nalishlari //Iqtisodiyot va ta’lim. – 2023. – Т. 24. – №. 6. – С. 357-363.
23. Patterson, Bill. Crises impact on reputation management // Public Relations Journal, Nov 1993, v49n11, p. 48.
24. Галицкая Ю. Н., Терещенко О. О. Прогнозирование риска банкротства предприятия при помощи отечественных моделей с целью сохранения платежеспособности //Экономика и бизнес: теория и практика. – 2019. – №. 12-1. – С. 82-85.
25. Бланк И. А. Финансовый менеджмент. Учебник. – М.: Финансы и статистика. 2004. – 656 с.
26. Wilson S. Planning for a crisis //Chemical Engineering. – 1993. – Т. 100. – №. 1. – С. 125.
27. Ko, Erick. Coping with a crisis // Asian Business, Mar 1998, v34n3, p. 56-57.
28. Ларионов И.К. Антикризисное управление: учебное пособие / И.К. Ларионов, Н.И. Брагин, А.Т. Алиев. 3-е изд., перераб. и доп. М.: Дашков и Ко, 2005. - 292 с.
29. Абдувохидов А., Маткулиева С., Тошбоев Б. Импорт ўрнини босувчи лойиҳаларнинг иқтисодий самарадорлигини баҳолаш //Iqtisodiyot va ta’lim. – 2023. – Т. 24. – №. 1. – С. 12-22.