ETHICAL, LEGAL AND POLITICAL CRITERIA OF THE PHENOMENON OF RESPONSIBILITY: THE FIRST IDEAS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOCIETY

Nabiev Sherzodjon Valijon Oglu

Researcher At Namangan State University, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT: The phenomenon of responsibility has been a cornerstone in the ethical, legal, and political development of societies throughout history. This article examines the foundational ideas that shaped the concept of responsibility, exploring its evolution from early societal structures to more complex systems of governance and morality. Ethical responsibility emerged as a guiding principle for individual and collective behavior, while legal responsibility provided a framework for accountability and justice. Political responsibility, intertwined with governance, evolved to address the dynamics of power and societal welfare. By analyzing contributions from ancient civilizations and classical philosophers, this study highlights the multifaceted nature of responsibility and its enduring significance in contemporary discourse.

KEYWORDS: Responsibility, ethics, law, politics, social development, accountability, justice, governance, ancient philosophy.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of responsibility is integral to the ethical, legal, and political fabric of any society. Its origins can be traced back to early human communities, where shared values and mutual obligations formed the foundation of social cohesion. Over time, these rudimentary ideas of responsibility evolved into sophisticated frameworks that underpin modern governance, morality, and legal systems.

Ethical Responsibility: Foundations in Early Societies. Ethical responsibility, the obligation to act in accordance with moral principles, has been central to human interaction since the dawn of civilization. Early societies often derived their ethical codes from religious and cultural beliefs. For instance, the Code of Hammurabi (circa 1754 BCE) articulated rules for ethical conduct and justice, emphasizing the principle of reciprocity, famously summarized as "an eye for an eye." Classical Greek philosophy further developed the notion of ethical responsibility. Socrates championed the idea of personal accountability, asserting that individuals must examine their actions and motivations. In Plato's Apology, Socrates declares, "I am only too aware that I do not know anything," emphasizing the ethical responsibility to seek knowledge and truth. Aristotle expanded on this in his Nicomachean Ethics, identifying virtue as a habitual practice that aligns with reason and promotes the common good. He argued that ethical responsibility is not merely about following rules but about cultivating character.

In Eastern traditions, ethical responsibility was often framed within the context of harmony and interconnectedness. Confucian philosophy emphasized the importance of fulfilling one's roles within familial and societal structures. Confucius taught, "To govern is to correct. If you set an example by being correct, who would dare to remain incorrect?" This perspective highlights the ethical responsibility of leaders to act as moral exemplars.

Legal Responsibility: The Birth of Accountability. Legal responsibility emerged as societies formalized rules to maintain order and resolve conflicts. The establishment of laws marked a significant shift from reliance on customs and traditions to codified systems of accountability. The ancient Mesopotamian, Egyptian, and Roman civilizations laid the groundwork for modern legal responsibility. The Code of Hammurabi not only defined ethical obligations but also established legal precedents. Similarly, Roman law, as codified in the Corpus Juris Civilis under Emperor Justinian , introduced principles such as pacta sunt servanda (agreements must be kept), underscoring the legal responsibility to honor contracts.

Legal theorists such as Cicero emphasized the relationship between law and morality. In his treatise De Legibus, Cicero argued that laws must reflect natural law and the universal principles of justice. "The welfare of the people is the highest law," he wrote, suggesting that legal responsibility extends to ensuring societal well-being.

Political Responsibility: Governance and the Common Good. Political responsibility pertains to the obligations of leaders and institutions to govern effectively and ethically. This dimension of responsibility has evolved alongside the development of political systems, from tribal councils to complex state apparatuses. In ancient Greece, the concept of polis underscored the collective responsibility of citizens to participate in governance. Pericles, in his famous Funeral Oration, highlighted the political responsibility of Athenians to engage in democratic processes, asserting that "the freedom which we enjoy in our government extends also to our ordinary life. "

The works of thinkers like Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau further elaborated on political responsibility within the framework of the social contract. Hobbes' Leviathan argued that individuals cede certain freedoms to a sovereign authority in exchange for security and order, emphasizing the responsibility of rulers to protect their subjects. Locke, in contrast, emphasized the right of individuals to hold leaders accountable, asserting in his Second Treatise of Government that governments derive their legitimacy from the consent of the governed. Rousseau's The Social Contract expanded this idea, proposing that political responsibility involves aligning governance with the general will of the people.

In Eastern traditions, political responsibility often emphasized moral leadership. Ancient Indian texts such as the Arthashastra by Kautilya outlined the duties of rulers to uphold dharma (righteousness) and ensure the welfare of their subjects. Similarly, Confucianism stressed the role of benevolent governance, where rulers were seen as custodians of the people's well-being.

Interconnections and Modern Implications. The ethical, legal, and political dimensions of responsibility are deeply interconnected. Ethical responsibility informs legal principles, which in turn shape political accountability. For instance, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations in 1948, reflects these interconnections by codifying ethical ideals into legal and political commitments. In contemporary society, the phenomenon of responsibility

continues to evolve in response to global challenges such as climate change, social inequality, and technological advancements. Ethical responsibility now extends to addressing the impact of human actions on future generations. Legal frameworks are increasingly used to hold corporations and governments accountable for environmental and social harm. Political responsibility, meanwhile, demands transparency, inclusivity, and responsiveness from leaders in an era of rapid change and uncertainty.

Conclusion. The phenomenon of responsibility, rooted in ethical, legal, and political criteria, has been integral to the development of human societies. From the early codification of laws to the philosophical inquiries of ancient thinkers, responsibility has provided a framework for navigating the complexities of human interaction. As societies continue to face new challenges, the enduring principles of responsibility offer valuable guidance for fostering justice, accountability, and collective well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Alford, Helen. "Ethical foundations of corporate social responsibility. The contribution of Christian social thought." Corporate management in a knowledge-based economy. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2012. 189-211.
2. Miller, Paul Allen, and Charles Platter. Plato's Apology of Socrates: a commentary. Vol. 36. University of Oklahoma Press, 2012.
3. Dingledy, Frederick W. "The corpus juris civilis: a guide to its history and use." Legal Reference Services Quarterly 35.4 (2016): 231-255.
4. Sicking, C. M. J. "The general purport of Pericles' funeral oration and last speech." Hermes 123.H. 4 (1995): 404-425.
5. Boesche, Roger. The first great political realist: Kautilya and his Arthashastra. Lexington Books, 2003.