

**THE OBLIGATION TO PROVE IN ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS IS UNDER THE  
RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BODY AND THE OFFICIAL WHO  
ACCEPTED IT**

*Yusuf Saidazimov*

*Lecturer*

*Department of Administrative and Financial Law*

*Tashkent state university of law*

*Phone: +998996425295*

*E-mail. [yusuf\\_saidazimov@mail.ru](mailto:yusuf_saidazimov@mail.ru)*

**ABSTRACT:** In this thesis, it is stated that the duty to protect and prove the rights and legitimate interests of interested persons in the sphere of administrative law in the Republic of Uzbekistan is the responsibility of the administrative body and the official of the administrative body adopted by the committee.

**KEYWORDS:** Democratic Party, building, proving, citizen, administrative body, official.

**INTRODUCTION**

President of the Republic of Uzbekistan Shavkat Mirziyoev, in his speech at the congress of the Liberal Democratic Party of Uzbekistan on September 9, 2021, particularly focused on the administrative sphere, the reforms being implemented and being implemented in the administrative sphere, strictly noting that along with the procedure for filing an application to the courts, in administrative courts "now the duty to prove in the administrative court that the decision is not illegal is assigned not to the citizen, but to the official who accepted it."

**THE MAIN FINDINGS AND RESULTS**

So what does our legislation say about this. As for article 67 of the Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan on administrative proceedings, if the first part of the article says that each person participating in the case must prove the circumstances on which his claims and objections are based, then in the second part the obligation to prove the legality of the disputed documents of administrative bodies, decisions of citizens' self-government bodies, actions (inaction) of their officials is assigned to the relevant bodies and officials. It is indicated that these bodies and

officials are also obliged to confirm the facts they cite in support of their objections, hence it follows that the duty of proof in administrative courts is assigned to the administrative body and their officials.

## CONCLUSION

As for the first part of the article, it emphasizes that each person participating in the case, say the applicant, must prove the circumstances on the basis of which he puts forward his claims and objections, that is, evidence from which it follows that the burden of proof lies with the administrative body and its official, and not on the applicant. The applicant, a third person or a State body, its official is obliged to prove these grounds only if they provide substantiating circumstances or evidence. The duty of proof remains with the administrative body and its official. The speeches of the President of our country Shavkat Mirziyoyev at the congress of the Liberal Democratic Party of Uzbekistan strengthen and ensure the implementation of this norm

## REFERENCES

1. Abdusattarova D., Yusupov S. Improvement of Organizational and Judicial Frameworks of State Bodies with Appeals of Legal and Physical Entities // Archive of scientific research. – 2019.
2. Yusupov S.B. The right to the treatment and its evolution //European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. – 2016. – №. 1. – C. 72-73.
3. Yusupov S. B. THE ESSENCE AND ACTIVITY OF THE INSTITUTE OF THE TREATMENTS OF PHYSICAL AND LEGAL ENTITIES IN STATE BODIES OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN //Theoretical & Applied Science. – 2015. – №. 11. – C. 140-143.
4. Yusupov S.B. IMPROVEMENT OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LEGAL POLICY BY STATE BODIES IN THE FIELD //Reviewoflawsciences. – 2020. – №. 4.
5. Yusupov S.B. Institute of Appeals of Individuals and Legal Entities in Uzbekistan: development and current state //State power and local self-government. – 2017. – №. 5. – C. 36-38.
6. Yu.Saidazimov, FEATURES OF THE EXERCISE OF DISCRETIONARY POWERS BY ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES. Scientific works of students and postgraduates // Collection

- of scientific works on the results of the International Remote Scientific and practical conference. - Tashkent: TSUL, 2020. – p. 562.
7. Y.Saidazimov SOME QUESTIONS OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL AND LEGAL STATUS AND STRUCTURE OF THE LAW FIRM, THAT IS, THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE ADVOCACY. “Юридик фанлар ахборотномаси – Вестник юридический наук – Review of law sciences” the scientific and practical legal journal was registered in the Uzbek Press and Information Agency on August 18, 2017 with certificate No. 0931..
  8. Жураева, А. Б. (2020). PRIOR RIGHTS IN TRADEMARK IN UZBEKISTAN, CHINA AND GERMANY COMPARATIVE STUDY. ЮРИСПРУДЕНЦИЯ, 1(1).
  9. Жураев, А. Н. (2021). REPRESENTATIVE INSTITUTE FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE RIGHTS AND LEGAL INTERESTS OF BUSINESS ENTITIES IN ENSURING THE RULE OF LAW. ЖУРНАЛ ПРАВОВЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ, (SPECIAL 1).
  10. Isaeva, F. . (2021). LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE REGULATION OF CIVIL SERVICE IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN. INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC AND CURRENT RESEARCH CONFERENCES, 1(1), 192–198. Retrieved from <https://usajournalshub.com/conferences/index.php/iscrc/article/view/208>
  11. Жураев Шерзод (2020). ПРАВО НА ЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКИ БЕЗОПАСНУЮ ЖИЗНЬ И ЗАРУБЕЖНАЯ ПРАКТИКА. Review of law sciences, 4 (Спецвыпуск), 88-91. doi: 10.24412/2181-919X-2020-88-91
  12. Жураева, А. Б. (2020). PRIOR RIGHTS IN TRADEMARK IN UZBEKISTAN, CHINA AND GERMANY COMPARATIVE STUDY. ЮРИСПРУДЕНЦИЯ, 1(1).
  13. Akhrorov, A. (2021). ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION BODIES IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN.
  14. Khudoyberganova, M. . (2021). THE ROLE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN. INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC AND CURRENT RESEARCH CONFERENCES, 1(1), 147–152. Retrieved from <https://usajournalshub.com/conferences/index.php/iscrc/article/view/200>